THE KNOWLEDGE CONVERSION SECI PROCESS AS INNOVATION INDICATOR ANALYSIS FACTOR

Elaine da Silva
Master Student Graduate Program in Information Science
Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp)
Brazil

Marta Lígia Pomim Valentim
Professor Graduate Program in Information Science
Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp)
Brazil

ABSTRACT

It highlights the innovation importance in the current society and presents innovation indicators applied in 125 countries. We made an analysis in the 80 variables distributed through seven GII pillars, trying to identify the direct, indirect or null incidences of the knowledge conversion way described by the SECI Process. The researched revealed the fact that knowledge management, in this case specifically the knowledge conversion SECI Process, is present in the variables that, according to the GII, make clear innovative activity in countries.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Information Society or Knowledge Society are coined expressions to describe the current society (QUÉAU, 1998; MATELLART, 2000; WERTHEIN, 2000; CASTELLS, 2007), characterized by economic relations, global scale production and information and communication technologies (ICT) that allow information production, dissemination and sharing in a dynamic way and in real time. It’s information and knowledge based economy, with highly competitive and internationalized markets. Innovative activity in industrial organizations, described by Schumpeter (1982) at the beginning of the 20th century as “[...] new material and labor force combination” starts being essential in this new society from the 1990s.
We understand by innovation the implementation of a product or service, of a productive process, of a marketing method or a new or significantly improved organizational method, locally, regionally, nationally or globally. Literature confirms that innovation is, nowadays, one of the main factors to industrial development and competitiveness and among nations (PORTER, 1986; FLEURY, 2008; GIBSON, 2008).

In this new social model, innovation embraces government policies, governmental agency programs and entrepreneurial growth strategies (RAMOS, 2008), which influences in the necessity of innovative activities measurement indicators establishment.

2 INNOVATION INDICATORS

The first initiative related to systemically innovation analysis and measurement are attributed to the Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD), that aims to promote politics to insure economic and social well-being, and is represented in 34 countries, including European countries (headquarters of the organization), North America members, South America and Asia (A PROPOS..., 2012).

In 2007 arises the Global Innovation Index (GII) under the leadership of The Business School for the World (INSEAD) (teaching and research institution present in Asia, North America and Europe) that has partners such as the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the Confederation of Indian Industries. The GII 2011 edition presented data from 125 economies corresponding to 93.2% of the world population and 98% of the World Gross Domestic Product (DUTTA, 2011).

The GII is composed of 80 variables distributed in 20 sub-pillars that are a part of seven pillars, five of them deal with innovation inputs and two of them deal with innovation outputs, as we can observe it in Table 1.
Table 1: GII Pillars and Sub-pillars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pillars</th>
<th>Subpillars</th>
<th>Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Institutions</td>
<td>Politic Environment</td>
<td>Political stability; Government effectiveness; Freedom of press.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulatory Environment</td>
<td>Regulatory quality; Rule of law; Rigidity of Labor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business Environment</td>
<td>Press opening time; Company opening cost; Overall tax rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Capital and Research</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Education Expenditure; Education Public Spending per Student; School Life Expectancy; Reading Evaluation; Student-teacher relationship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td>Higher education enrollment; Science graduated; Engineering graduated; Foreign; Students in the country; Students in other countries; Other countries enrolment because of the total of enrollments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Research and Development</td>
<td>Researches; I&amp;D Expenditure; Research Institutions Quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Information and Communication</td>
<td>ICT access; ICT use; Government online services offer and accessibility; Governance online tools use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Electricity Production; Electricity Consumption; GDP by energy consumption unity; Renewable energies quote in energy consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General Infrastructure</td>
<td>Commerce and transportation related to infrastructure; Gross capital formation (fixed); Biocapacity and ecological resources consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Sophistication</td>
<td>Credit</td>
<td>Legal apparatus to credit obtaining; Information Depth; Intern Credit to Private Sector; Gross credit portfolio of microfinance institutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investment</td>
<td>Investor’s protection force; Market capitalization; Overall value of stock commercialization; Risk business capital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commerce and Competition</td>
<td>Applied tariff; Commercial market access tightening; Property and services imports; Property and services export; Local competition intensity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Sophistication</td>
<td>Knowledge Workers</td>
<td>Knowledge intensive services job; Companies that offer formal training; P&amp;D Gross expenses per business company; P&amp;D Gross expenses financed by business companies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Innovation Links</td>
<td>I&amp;D university/industry cooperation; Development state of a cluster; P&amp;D gross expenses financed abroad; Joint ventures/strategical partnerships and offers; Published patents with at least on external inventor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knowledge Absorption</td>
<td>High technologies import; Communication and computer service import; Foreign direct net investment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Production</td>
<td>Knowledge Creation</td>
<td>Patent requests deposited in the national office; Patent requests deposited through PCT; Deposited utility model application; Publication of scientific and technique articles in magazines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knowledge Impact</td>
<td>GDP growth by employee person; New business depth; Computer software expenses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Knowledge Diffusion</td>
<td>Royalties income and license fees; High technology export; Communication services and computer export; Foreign direct investment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Using as a principle the literature premise that knowledge is the main element that promotes innovation in organizations (LUNDVALL, 2010; TAKEUCHI; NONAKA, 2008) we search to establish the relation among the four knowledge conversion ways established by Takeuchi and Nonaka (2008) known in literature as SECI Process and the variables that the GII is consisted of.

According to the SECI Process, knowledge is created by the individual and it can be organizationally amplified by tacit knowledge conversion and vice versa. Nonaka and Takeuchi (2008) identify four knowledge conversion ways: (1) Socialization: tacit to tacit; (2) Externalization: tacit to explicit; (3) Combination: explicit to explicit; (4) Internalization: explicit to tacit. Knowledge conversion ways combine and interact in a spiral movement, they create new knowledge and, as a result, they can create innovation.
Assuming that innovation creation is a result of public and private agents action, derivative from the manufacture, scientific, service and institutional sectors (FISCHER, 2000 apud PORCARO, 2005), knowledge conversion ways described in the SECI Process are fundamental so through the interaction of different agents in an innovation system, each agent knowledge can be shared with the others, making possible greatest and better innovation creation possibilities.

3 METHOD AND DATA ANALYSIS

Based on what was previously explained, we made an analysis in the 80 through seven GII pillars, trying to identify the direct, indirect or null incidence of knowledge conversion ways described by the SECI Process SECI (TAKEUCHI; NONAKA, 2008).

In any of the variables was identified the total absence of the knowledge conversion process, considering that all of them use some knowledge way. In 51 of the variables, corresponding to 63.75% of the total, we noticed the direct presence of the SECI Process, which means that they are indicators that are essentially product or they depend on at least one of the knowledge conversion ways. In the remaining 29 variables (36.25%), we identified the presence of knowledge conversion only in the indirect way.

Graphic 1 revels the incidence of the SECI Process in each one of the GII Pillars, letting explicit the amount of variables in each pillar and that they have direct or indirect incidence of knowledge conversion.
4 FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The innovation indicators measurement, monitoring and analysis, as presented by the GII, are extremely important to the development of national innovation politics. The pillars presented in the GII show the relevance of creating an innovation environment, made of agents from the political-governmental; educational and research; infrastructure; market and business spheres. They allow governments and all Innovation National Systems (SNI) to verify their efforts in different innovation creation influencing environments.

We highlight that the pillars: Human Capital and Research; Business Sophistication; Scientific Production and Creative Production are clearly knowledge intensive indicators, focusing in education and research; fact shown in variable percentage that, in these pillars, have direct incidence in the SECI Process.

Overall, the direct or indirect incidence of the Knowledge conversion SECI Process in all variables confirms that knowledge is the most important resource in the current economy.

The research clarifies the fact that knowledge management, in this case specifically the knowledge conversion SECI Process, is present in the variables that,
according to the GII, show innovative activity in the countries. This means that we have to consider that, the adoption of knowledge management models in a systemic manner at the organizations may be a differential in innovation creation and, as a result, in nation’s development.
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